

### **Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering**

Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K23 B

Staff: DR.JISU ELSA JACOB

Subject: EST102 - PROGRAMMING IN C Session Name: Faculty Evaluation\_May 2024 Session Id: TE-SN2462-May-2024

Session Id : TE-SN2462-May-2024
Users Completed : 64
Survey Id : TE26-Jan-2020
Overall Score : 90.6

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	45	Opted %           70.31%           21.88%           4.69%           1.56%           70.31%           18.75%           9.38%           0%           1.56%           73.44%           20.31%           3.13%           70.31%           6.25%           1.56%           78.1%           12.5%           76.56%           14.06%           1.56%           7.81%           85.94%           4.69%           9.38%           85.94%           4.69%           92.19%           1.56%           0%           6.25%           75%           15.63%           3.13%           4.69%	798.75
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	14		197.82
		Fair	10.28	3	4.69%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	1	1.56%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.56%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	45	70.31%	652.5
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	12	18.75%	141.72
		Fair	8.11	6	9.38%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.56%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	47	73.44%	352.5
	3. Teachers willingness to Help	Good	5.71	13	20.31%	74.23
		Fair	4.04	2	3.13%	8.08
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.13%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	45	70.31%	263.25
	4. Approximate // of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	6.25%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	1	1.56%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	14	21.88%	22.96
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	51	79.69%	204
		Yes	0.67	5	7.81%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	8	12.5%	13.2
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	49	76.56%	453.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	9	14.06%	57.06
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.56%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	5	7.81%	15.8
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	55		261.25
		Too Fast	1.34	3		4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	6		8.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	55	85.94%	378.4
		Some Times	3.89	4	6.25%	15.56
		No	0.74	2	3.13%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	4.69%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	57	89.06%	384.75
	4. Deliavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	4.69%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	6.25%	7.76
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	59		678.5
	J. Smeerty of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1		1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	_	0
		Blank	4.03	4		16.12
	6 Overall Tanching affectiveness	Excellent	11.25	48		540
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	10		90.3
		Fair	6.46	2		12.92
		Poor	1.56	3		4.68
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.56%	2.03

## **Strength and Weakness**

No remarks found.

# **Special Remarks**

• excellent and better understanding of students





Staff: SUJA DEVI V.

**Subject : PHT100 - ENGINEERING PHYSICS A (FOR CIRCUIT BRANCHES)** 

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation\_May 2024 Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K23 B Session Id: TE-SN2462-May-2024 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

**Users Completed: 63** Overall Score: 86.29

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	38	60.32%	674.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	17	26.98%	240.21
ection 1		Fair	10.28	5	7.94%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	1	1.59%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.17%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	36	57.14%	522
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	14	22.22%	165.34
		Fair	8.11	7	11.11%	56.77
		Poor	2.01	4	6.35%	8.04
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.17%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	33	52.38%	247.5
	3. reactions withingness to freip	Good	5.71	18	28.57%	102.78
		Fair	4.04	9	14.29%	36.36
		Poor	1.13	1	1.59%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.17%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	45	71.43%	263.2
	4. Approximate 70 of classes 1401 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	6	9.52%	21.96
		More than 25	1.91	3	4.76%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	9	14.29%	14.76
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	50	79.37%	200
	3. Whether the teachers distance hotes only	Yes	0.67	6	9.52%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	7	11.11%	11.55
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	40	63.49%	370
		Satisfactory	6.34	17	26.98%	107.78
		Inadequate	2.50	3	4.76%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	4.76%	9.48
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	47	74.6%	223.2
		Too Fast	1.34	5	7.94%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.40	11	17.46%	15.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	46	73.02%	316.4
		Some Times	3.89	13	20.63%	50.57
		No	0.74	1	1.59%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	4.76%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	50	79.37%	337.5
	in Boliuvior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	6	9.52%	16.68
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.17%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	5	7.94%	9.7
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	58	92.06%	667
	or smoothly of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.59%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.17%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	2	3.17%	8.06
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	40	63.49%	450
	o. Overall reaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	12	19.05%	108.3
		Fair	6.46	7	11.11%	45.22
		Poor	1.56	2	3.17%	3.12
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.17%	4.06

**Strength and Weakness** 

No remarks found.

# **Special Remarks**

No special remarks found.





Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K23 B

Staff: DR.S.H. ANILKUMAR

**Subject: EST110 - ENGINEERING GRAPHICS** Session Name: Faculty Evaluation\_May 2024

Session Id: TE-SN2462-May-2024 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 62** Overall Score: 83.32

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	33	53.23% 29.03% 12.9% 1.61% 3.23% 46.77% 22.58% 19.35% 6.45% 4.84% 54.84% 54.84% 11.29% 1.61% 3.23% 70.97% 11.29% 4.84% 12.9% 75.81% 9.68% 14.52% 59.68% 29.03% 4.84% 6.45% 66.13% 19.35% 64.52% 25.81% 0% 9.68% 83.87% 4.84% 1.61% 9.68% 82.26% 6.45% 4.84% 56.45% 4.84% 56.45% 4.84% 56.45% 4.84%	585.75
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	18		254.34
		Fair	10.28	8	12.9%	82.24
		Poor	3.53	1	1.61%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.23%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	29	46.77%	420.5
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	14	22.58%	165.34
		Fair	8.11	12	19.35%	97.32
		Poor	2.01	4	6.45%	8.04
		Can't Judge	4.09	3	4.84%	12.27
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	34	54.84%	255
	3. reactions withinghess to freep	Good	5.71	16	25.81%	91.36
		Fair	4.04	9	14.52%	36.36
		Poor	1.13	1	1.61%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.23%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	44	70.97%	257.4
	4. Approximate 70 of classes 1401 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	7	11.29%	25.62
		More than 25	1.91	3	4.84%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	12.9%	13.12
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	47	75.81%	188
		Yes	0.67	6	9.68%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	9	14.52%	14.85
2	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	37	59.68%	342.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	18	29.03%	114.12
		Inadequate	2.50	3	4.84%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	6.45%	12.64
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	41		194.75
		Too Fast	1.34	12		16.08
		Can't Judge	1.40	9		12.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	40	64.52%	275.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	16	25.81%	62.24
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	6	9.68%	10.68
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	52		351
	4. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3		8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	1		1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	6		11.64
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	51		586.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	4		5.32
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4		20.24
		Blank	4.03	3	_	12.09
	6 Overall Tarching offs-ti	Excellent	11.25	35		393.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	15		135.45
		Fair	6.46	6	_	38.76
		Poor	1.56	3		4.68
		Can't Judge	2.03	3	4.84%	6.09

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

## **Special Remarks**

No special remarks found.





Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K23 B

Staff: SREEKUTTY S

Subject: EST130 - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation\_May 2024

Session Id: TE-SN2462-May-2024 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Users Completed: 62 Overall Score: 84.18

sers	Completed: 62				re: 84.	
ction	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75		58.06%	639
		Good	14.13	_	• •	240.
		Fair	10.28		8.06%	51.4
		Poor	3.53	2		7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.23%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	35	56.45%	507.
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	11	17.74%	129.
		Fair	8.11	10	16.13%	81.1
		Poor	2.01	4	6.45%	8.04
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.23%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	34	54.84%	255
	3. Teachers winnighess to freip	Good	5.71	16	25.81%	91.3
		Fair	4.04	8	12.9%	32.3
		Poor	1.13	2		2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	2		4.42
	4 A	Less than 10	5.85	45		263.
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	6	9.68%	21.9
		More than 25	1.91	4	_	7.64
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	_	11.4
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	50	_	200
		Yes	0.67	8	_	5.36
		Can't Judge	1.65	4		6.6
	1. Tanahara akilitu ta arramiga laatura	Excellent	9.25	36	_	333
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34		_	126.
		Inadequate	2.50		_	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	_		12.6
	2 Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75			232.
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34			6.7
		Can't Judge	1.40			11.2
	2. Deag the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88			295
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89		_	46.6
		No	0.74	36         58.06           17         27.42           5         8.069           2         3.239           35         56.43           11         17.74           10         16.13           4         6.459           2         3.239           34         54.84           16         25.81           8         12.99           2         3.239           45         72.58           6         9.689           4         6.459           7         11.29           50         80.65           8         12.99           4         6.459           36         58.06           20         32.26           2         3.239           4         6.459           3         4.6459           4         6.459           5         8.069           8         12.99           43         69.35           12         19.35           1         1.619           6         9.689           46         74.19		0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78			10.6
		Pleasant	6.75		_	310.
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78			13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28			3.84
		Can't Judge	1.28			15.5
		Sincere	11.50		_	586.
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33		_	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06		_	25.3
		Blank	4.03		_	12.0
						_
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	_	_	416.
		Good	9.03	_	16.13%	90.3
		Fair	6.46	_	14.52%	58.1
		Poor	1.56	_	4.84%	4.68
	h and Weakness	Can't Judge	2.03	3	4.84%	6.09

**Strength and Weakness** 

No remarks found.

## **Special Remarks**

No special remarks found.





Staff: GOPIKA GOPAN

**Subject: HUN102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION** 

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation\_May 2024 Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K23 B Session Id: TE-SN2462-May-2024 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

**Users Completed: 62** Overall Score: 84.61

2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations 3. Teachers Willingness to Help 4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Cood Fair Poor Can't Judge	17.75 14.13 10.28 3.53 8.00 14.50 11.81 8.11 2.01 4.09 7.50 5.71 4.04	37 16 4 3 2 37 18 4 1 2 33	59.68% 25.81% 6.45% 4.84% 3.23% 59.68% 29.03% 6.45% 1.61% 3.23%	656.75 226.08 41.12 10.59 16 536.5 212.58 32.44 2.01
2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations  3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Fair Foor Fair Food Fair Food	10.28 3.53 8.00 14.50 11.81 8.11 2.01 4.09 7.50 5.71	4 3 2 37 18 4 1 2 33	6.45% 4.84% 3.23% 59.68% 29.03% 6.45% 1.61%	41.12 10.59 16 536.5 212.58 32.44
3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor	3.53 8.00 14.50 11.81 8.11 2.01 4.09 7.50 5.71	3 2 37 18 4 1 2 33	4.84% 3.23% 59.68% 29.03% 6.45% 1.61%	10.59 16 536.5 212.58 32.44
3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor	8.00 14.50 11.81 8.11 2.01 4.09 7.50 5.71	2 37 18 4 1 2 33	3.23% 59.68% 29.03% 6.45% 1.61%	16 536.5 212.58 32.44
3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor	14.50 11.81 8.11 2.01 4.09 7.50 5.71	37 18 4 1 2 33	59.68% 29.03% 6.45% 1.61%	536.5 212.58 32.44
3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent Good Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor	11.81 8.11 2.01 4.09 7.50 5.71	18 4 1 2 33	29.03% 6.45% 1.61%	212.58 32.44
3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Fair Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor	8.11 2.01 4.09 7.50 5.71	4 1 2 33	6.45% 1.61%	32.44
	Poor Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor	2.01 4.09 7.50 5.71	1 2 33	1.61%	
	Can't Judge Excellent Good Fair Poor	4.09 7.50 5.71	33	_	2.01
	Excellent Good Fair Poor	7.50 5.71	33	3.23%	
	Good Fair Poor	5.71			8.18
	Fair Poor		1	53.23%	247.5
4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Poor	4.04	16	25.81%	91.36
4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers			6	9.68%	24.24
4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Can't Judge	1.13	5	8.06%	5.65
4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers		2.21	2	3.23%	4.42
4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	41	66.13%	239.8
1.7 Approximate 70 of classes 110 f engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	8	12.9%	29.28
	More than 25	1.91	4	6.45%	7.64
	Can't Judge	1.64	9	14.52%	14.76
5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	40	64.52%	160
	Yes	0.67	14	22.58%	9.38
	Can't Judge	1.65		12.9%	13.2
1. T 1 1212 A 2 - 1 - 4	Excellent	9.25	36	58.06%	333
1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34		27.42%	107.7
	Inadequate	2.50	_	8.06%	12.5
	Can't Judge	3.16		6.45%	12.64
	Just Right	4.75		74.19%	218.5
2. Speed of presentation			_	_	12.06
				_	9.8
2 D 4 4 1					288.9
3. Does the teacher encourage questioning			_		54.46
			3 16 8 4 3 2 0 37 1 18 4 1 2 33 16 6 5 2 41 8 4 9 40 14 8 8 36 17 5 4 46 9 7 42 14 2 4 52 4 52 4 52 4 53 3 5 37 18 3		1.48
			_	_	7.12
4 D 1			_		351
4. Behavior of the teacher					11.12
			_		2.56
					7.76
5 0' ' 01 1			_	_	586.5
5. Sincerity of the teacher				_	6.65
			_	_	15.18
	The state of the s			_	12.09
				+	416.2
				_	162.5
6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	l Good			_	19.38
6. Overall Teaching effectiveness					1 17.30
6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good Fair Poor	1.56	_	4.84%	4.68
4	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning 4. Behavior of the teacher 5. Sincerity of the teacher	Too Fast Can't Judge  Yes Some Times No Can't Judge  Pleasant Indifferent Unpleasant Can't Judge  Sincerity of the teacher  Sincere Not Sincere Unable to Judge Blank Excellent Good	Too Fast   1.34   Can't Judge   1.40	Too Fast	Too Fast 1.34 9 14.52% Can't Judge 1.40 7 11.29% Yes 6.88 42 67.74% Some Times 3.89 14 22.58% No 0.74 2 3.23% Can't Judge 1.78 4 6.45% Indifferent 2.78 4 6.45% Unpleasant 1.28 2 3.23% Can't Judge 1.94 4 6.45% Sincerity of the teacher Sincere 11.50 51 82.26% Not Sincere 11.50 51 82.26% Unable to Judge 5.06 3 4.84% Blank 4.03 3 4.84% Excellent 11.25 37 59.68% Good 9.03 18 29.03%

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

# **Special Remarks**

No special remarks found.





Staff: VRINTHA K V

**Subject: HUN102 - PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATION** 

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation\_May 2024 Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K23 B Session Id: TE-SN2462-May-2024 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

**Users Completed: 63** Overall Score: 91.17

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	49	77.78%	869.75
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	10	15.87%	141.3
		Fair	10.28	1	1.59%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	1	1.59%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.17%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	44	69.84%	638
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	15	23.81%	177.15
		Fair	8.11	1	1.59%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	1	1.59%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.17%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	46	73.02%	345
	3. reachers winnighess to freip	Good	5.71	10	15.87%	57.1
		Fair	4.04	3	4.76%	12.12
		Poor	1.13	1	1.59%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	3	4.76%	6.63
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	48	76.19%	280.8
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	6.35%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	3	4.76%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	12.7%	13.12
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	52	82.54%	208
		Yes	0.67	5	7.94%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	9.52%	9.9
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	52	82.54%	481
		Satisfactory	6.34	6	9.52%	38.04
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.17%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	4.76%	9.48
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	55	87.3%	261.25
		Too Fast	1.34	3	4.76%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	7.94%	7
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	50	79.37%	344
		Some Times	3.89	8	12.7%	31.12
		No	0.74	1	1.59%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	6.35%	7.12
ļ	4. Dahayiar of the toocher	Pleasant	6.75	55	87.3%	371.25
Į.	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	4.76%	8.34
Į.		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.59%	1.28
Į.		Can't Judge	1.94	4	6.35%	7.76
Į.	5 Singarity of the tencher	Sincere	11.50	58	92.06%	667
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.59%	1.33
Į.		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.17%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	2	3.17%	8.06
	6 Overall Tanching offectives	Excellent	11.25	50	79.37%	562.5
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	6	9.52%	54.18
Į.		Fair	6.46	4	6.35%	25.84
Į.		Poor	1.56	1	1.59%	1.56
ļ		Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.17%	4.06

**Strength and Weakness** 

No remarks found.

## **Special Remarks**

No special remarks found.



### **Sree Chitra Thirunal College of Engineering**

Pappanamcode Thiruvananthapuram kerala -695018 Phone: 9447490572, principal@sctce.ac.in



Staff: DR. S P VIJI

**Subject : MAT102 - VECTOR CALCULUS, DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND TRANSFORMS** 

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation\_May 2024

Session Id: TE-SN2462-May-2024

Users Completed: 63

Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K23 B

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Overall Score: 88.87

**Users Completed: 63** Users Total Section Question Option Mark Opted % Mark Opted 17.75 68.25% 1 Excellent 43 763.25 1. Subject Knowledge 14.13 Good 16 25.4%226.08 Fair 10.28 2 3.17% 20.56 3.53 0 0%0 Poor Can't Judge 8.00 2 3.17% 16 14.50 40 580 Excellent 63.49% 2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations 200.77 Good 11.81 17 26.98% Fair 8.11 3 4.76% 24.33 2.01 1.59% Poor 1 2.01 Can't Judge 4.09 2 3.17% 8.18 Excellent 7.50 45 71.43% 337.5 3. Teachers Willingness to Help Good 5.71 13 20.63% 74.23 Fair 4.04 2 3.17% 8.08 2 3.17% 2.26 Poor 1.13 1 1.59% Can't Judge 2.21 2.21 Less than 10 5.85 46 73.02% 269.1 4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers 10 to 25 5 3.66 7.94% 18.3 More than 25 1.91 2 3.17% 3.82 10 15.87% Can't Judge 1.64 16.4 196 4.00 49 77.78% No 5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only Yes 8 12.7% 5.36 0.67 Can't Judge 1.65 6 9.52% 9.9 2 Excellent 9.25 45 71.43% 416.25 1. Teachers ability to organize lecture 14 6.34 22.22% 88.76 Satisfactory Inadequate 2.50 0 0% 0 Can't Judge 3.16 4 6.35% 12.64 4.75 51 80.95% 242.25 Just Right 2. Speed of presentation Too Fast 1.34 8 12.7% 10.72 Can't Judge 1.40 4 6.35% 5.6 49 6.88 77.78% 337.12 Yes 3. Does the teacher encourage questioning 3.89 8 Some Times 12.7% 31.12 No 0.74 3 4.76% 2.22 3 Can't Judge 1.78 4.76% 5.34 6.75 52 82.54%351 Pleasant 4. Behavior of the teacher 5 7.94% Indifferent 2.78 13.9 1.28 4 6.35%5.12 Unpleasant Can't Judge 1.94 2 3.17% 3.88 11.50 55 87.3% 632.5 Sincere 5. Sincerity of the teacher 4 Not Sincere 1.33 6.35% 5.32 Unable to Judge 5.06 2 3.17% 10.12 2 Blank 4.03 3.17% 8.06 11.25 44 Excellent 69.84% 495 6. Overall Teaching effectiveness 9.03 13 Good 20.63% 117.39 6.46 2 Fair 3.17% 12.92 2 3.12 Poor 1.56 3.17% Can't Judge 2.03 3.17% 4.06

**Strength and Weakness** 

No remarks found.

### **Special Remarks**

No special remarks found.





Batch: BTech KTU EC 2K23 B

**Staff: DR.NISHA JOSE K** 

Subject: EST130(B) - BASICS OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Evaluation\_May 2024

Session Id: TE-SN2462-May-2024 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 63** Overall Score: 81.49

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	37	58.73%	656.75
	The subject time in reage	Good	14.13	12	19.05%	169.56
		Fair	10.28	9	14.29%	92.52
		Poor	3.53	3	4.76%	10.59
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.17%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	35	55.56%	507.5
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	10	15.87%	118.1
		Fair	8.11	9	14.29%	72.99
		Poor	2.01	7	11.11%	14.07
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.17%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	35	55.56%	262.5
	3. Teachers withinghess to Help	Good	5.71	13	20.63%	74.23
		Fair	4.04	9	14.29%	36.36
		Poor	1.13	4	6.35%	4.52
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.17%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	41	65.08%	239.85
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	11	17.46%	40.26
		More than 25	1.91	3	4.76%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	12.7%	13.12
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	65.08%	164
		Yes	0.67	12	19.05%	8.04
		Can't Judge	1.65	10	15.87%	16.5
!	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	35	55.56%	323.75
		Satisfactory	6.34	19	30.16%	120.46
		Inadequate	2.50	5	7.94%	12.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	6.35%	12.64
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	41	65.08%	194.75
		Too Fast	1.34	9	14.29%	12.06
		Can't Judge	1.40	13	20.63%	18.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	43	68.25%	295.84
		Some Times	3.89	14	22.22%	54.46
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	6	9.52%	10.68
		Pleasant	6.75	48	76.19%	324
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	7	11.11%	19.46
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.59%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	7	11.11%	13.58
		Sincere	11.50	49	77.78%	563.5
ļ	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	5	7.94%	6.65
		Unable to Judge	5.06	6	9.52%	30.36
ļ		Blank	4.03	3	4.76%	12.09
ļ		Excellent	11.25	35	55.56%	393.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	12	19.05%	108.36
ļ		Fair	6.46	9	14.29%	58.14
ļ		Poor	1.56	5	+	
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	7.94% 3.17%	7.8 4.06

## **Strength and Weakness**

No remarks found.

# **Special Remarks**

- Share ppt Or send pot it would be better rather than telling notes

